

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/613,260

Applicant(s)

MANHAEVE ET AL.

Examiner

Paresh Patel

Art Unit

2829

## All Participants:

(1) Paresh Patel.

(2) Mr. Gresens.

Status of Application: Ex Parte Quayle

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 9 December 2004

Time: 4:30pm

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

ALL

Prior art documents discussed:

None

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Gresens for drawing correction and to cancel non-elected claims in view of possible allowance. Mr. Gresens agreed with canceling non-elected claims but he needed approval from client for correction to the drawing. Therefore, Examiner cancels Claims 8-13 and 16-17, and rejoins claim 5 because it depends from allowed claims. .